UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORKX	
JEFFREY LONG, Plaintiff, -against-	VERIFIED ANSWER Index No. 07 CIV 8083 (CLB)
JOHN CALACA, DANIEL FLANICK, JAMES DETCH, and TOWN OF CRAWFORD,	
Defendants.	
Defendant DANIEL FLANICK by his attorney	vs OSTRER ROSENWASSER, LLP,

PARTIES

answering the Plaintiff's Verified Complaint:

- 1. Denies any knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the allegations in the paragraph of the Verified Complaint designated "1" and respectfully refers all questions of law to the Court and all questions of fact to the trier of fact.
- 2. Denies each and every allegation contained in the paragraph of the Verified Complaint marked and designated as "2," except admits, upon information and belief, that John Calaca was the Town of Crawford Building Inspector between September 13, 2005, and January 4, 2006, and respectfully refers all questions of law to the Court and all questions of fact to the trier of fact.
- 3. Denies each and every allegation contained in the paragraph of the Verified Complaint marked and designated as "3," except admits, upon information and belief, that DANIEL FLANICK was a member of the Town Board of the Town of Crawford between

September 13, 2005, and January 4, 2006, and respectfully refers all questions of law to the Court and all questions of fact to the trier of fact.

- 4. Denies each and every allegation contained in the paragraph of the Verified Complaint marked and designated as "4," except admits, upon information and belief, that JAMES DETCH was a member of the Police Advisory Board of the Town of Crawford between September 13, 2005, and January 4, 2006, and respectfully refers all questions of law to the court and all question of fact to the trier of fact.
- 5. Admits, upon information and belief, those allegations contained in paragraph "5" of the Verified Complaint and respectfully refers all questions of law to the Court and all questions of fact to the trier of fact.
- 6. Denies each and every allegation contained in the paragraph of the Verified Complaint marked and designated "6" insofar as the allegations pertain or relate to this answering defendant and begs leave to refer to same at the time of trial and respectfully refers all questions of law to the Court and all questions of fact to the trier of fact.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

7. Denies each and every allegation contained in the paragraphs of the Verified Complaint marked and designated "7" and "8" insofar as the allegations pertain or relate to this answering defendant and begs leave to refer to same at the time of trial and respectfully refers all questions of law to the Court and all questions of fact to the trier of fact.

FACTS AND CLAIMS FOR RELIEF

8. Denies any knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the allegations in the paragraphs of the Verified Complaint designated "9" and "11."

- 9. Denies any knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the allegations in the paragraph of the Verified Complaint designated "10" insofar as the allegations pertain or relate to this answering defendant and begs leave to refer to same at the time of trial and respectfully refers all questions of law to the Court and all questions of fact to the trier of fact.
- 10. Denies each and every allegation contained in the paragraphs of the Verified Complaint marked and designated "12" insofar as the allegations pertain or relate to this answering defendant and begs leave to refer to same at the time of trial and respectfully refers all questions of law to the Court and all questions of fact to the trier of fact.
- 11. Denies each and every allegation contained in the paragraphs of the Verified Complaint marked and designated "13" and "25" insofar as the allegations pertain or relate to this answering defendant and begs leave to refer to same at the time of trial and respectfully refers all questions of law to the Court and all questions of fact to the trier of fact.
- 12. Denies each and every allegation contained in the paragraphs of the Verified Complaint marked and designated "14," "15(i-vii)," "16," "23," "24," "26," "27," and "28."
- 13. Denies each and every allegation contained in the paragraphs of the Verified Complaint marked and designated "17," "18," "19" and "20" and respectfully refers all questions of law to the Court and all questions of fact to the trier of fact.

AS AND FOR A FIRST SEPARATE AND DISTINCT AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

14. Defendant is entitled to qualified immunity.

AS AND FOR A SECOND SEPARATE AND DISTINCT AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

15. Plaintiff's Verified Complaint fails to state a viable constitutional claim under the First or Fourteenth Amendments as a matter of law.

AS AND FOR A THIRD SEPARATE AND DISTINCT AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

16. Plaintiff did not suffer any actual damages as a result of defendant's conduct.

AS AND FOR A FOURTH SEPARATE AND DISTINCT AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

17. Punitive damages are not assessable against defendant as a matter of law.

AS AND FOR A FIFTH SEPARATE AND DISTINCT AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

18. Defendant had no authority to issue or not issue a certificate of occupancy to plaintiff.

AS AND FOR A SIXTH SEPARATE AND DISTINCT AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

19. Plaintiff voluntarily, and without duress, sent a letter to the Town of Crawford Justice Court for the dismissal of the disorderly conduct charge against BABCOCK in an effort to conspire with defendant DETCH to avoid zoning law requirements applicable to plaintiff's business premises in the defendant TOWN OF CRAWFORD.

AS AND FOR A SEVENTH SEPARATE AND DISTINCT AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

20. The factual findings and legal conclusions contained within Judge Alfieri's Decision and Order in People v. Daniel Flanick and John Calaca, which dismissed all criminal

charges, serve to collaterally estop the plaintiff's First and Fourteenth Amendment claims, as a matter of law.

AS AND FOR A EIGHTH SEPARATE AND DISTINCT AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

21. Plaintiff's lawsuit should be deemed frivolous under F.R.C.P. Rule 11.

WHEREFORE, Defendant DANIEL FLANICK demands judgment dismissing the Verified Complaint together with the attorneys' fees, costs and disbursements of this action.

DATED: Montgomery, NY April 2, 2008

Yours, etc.

OSTRER ROSENWASSER, LLP

By: s/ Stewart A. Rosenwasser

STEWART A. ROSENWASSER (SR 0579)

Attorneys for Defendant Flanick
201 Ward Street Plaza, Suite 2-B

Post Office Box 69

Montgomery, NY 12549

845-457-4646

TO: ROBERT N. ISSEKS, ESQ. ALEX SMITH, ESQ. Attorneys for Plaintiff 6 North Street Middletown, NY 10940 845-344-4322

> BERNARD BRADY, ESQ. Attorney for Defendant Calaca 75 Main Street Post Office Box 639 Goshen, NY 10924

JOSEPH A. CATANIA, JR., ESQ. Attorneys for Defendant Detch One Corwin Court Newburgh, NY 12550

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORKX	
JEFFREY LONG, Plaintiff, -against- JOHN CALACA, DANIEL FLANICK, JAMES DETCH, and TOWN OF CRAWFORD,	VERIFICATION Index No. 07 CIV 8083 (CLB)
Defendants.	
STATE OF NEW YORK)	he has read the foregoing Verified Answer and
	s/ <u>Daniel Flanick</u> DANIEL FLANICK
Sworn to before me this 2nd day of April, 2008	
s/ Christel 2. Johnson Christel Z. Johnson Notary Public, State of New York	

Qualified in Ulster County, N.Y.

Commission Expires 7/31/2009

No. 4800872